

# Brascamp-Lieb inequality and quantitative versions of Helly's theorem

Marne-la-Vallée, October 26-30, 2015

Apostolos Giannopoulos

University of Athens

# Helly's theorem

## Helly's theorem

If  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  is a finite family of at least  $n + 1$  convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if any  $n + 1$  members of  $\mathcal{P}$  have non-empty intersection then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \neq \emptyset$ .

## Helly's theorem

If  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  is a finite family of at least  $n + 1$  convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if any  $n + 1$  members of  $\mathcal{P}$  have non-empty intersection then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \neq \emptyset$ .

We shall discuss a quantitative (volume) version of this result.

# Helly's theorem

## Helly's theorem

If  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  is a finite family of at least  $n + 1$  convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if any  $n + 1$  members of  $\mathcal{P}$  have non-empty intersection then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \neq \emptyset$ .

We shall discuss a quantitative (volume) version of this result.

## Bárány, Katchalski and Pach, 1982

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If the intersection of any  $2n$  or fewer members of  $\mathcal{P}$  has volume greater than or equal to 1, then  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| \geq c_n$ , where  $c_n > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $n$ .

# Quantitative Helly's theorem

Using the fact that every (closed) convex set is the intersection of a family of closed half-spaces and a simple compactness argument one can remove the restriction that  $\mathcal{P}$  is finite and also assume that each  $P_i$  is a closed half-space:

# Quantitative Helly's theorem

Using the fact that every (closed) convex set is the intersection of a family of closed half-spaces and a simple compactness argument one can remove the restriction that  $\mathcal{P}$  is finite and also assume that each  $P_i$  is a closed half-space:

Bárány, Katchalski and Pach, 1982

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of closed half-spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| > 0$ . There exist  $s \leq 2n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$  such that

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}| \leq n^{2n^2} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right|.$$

# Quantitative Helly's theorem

Using the fact that every (closed) convex set is the intersection of a family of closed half-spaces and a simple compactness argument one can remove the restriction that  $\mathcal{P}$  is finite and also assume that each  $P_i$  is a closed half-space:

Bárány, Katchalski and Pach, 1982

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of closed half-spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| > 0$ . There exist  $s \leq 2n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$  such that

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}| \leq n^{2n^2} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right|.$$

Note that the cube  $[-1, 1]^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written as the intersection of  $2n$  closed half-spaces and that the intersection of any  $2n - 1$  of these half-spaces has infinite volume.

# Quantitative Helly's theorem

In the same work, Bárány, Katchalski and Pach conjectured that instead of the bound  $C_n \leq n^{2n^2}$  for the constant  $C_n$  it may be possible to have  $C_n \leq n^{cn}$  for an absolute constant  $c > 0$ .



# Quantitative Helly's theorem

In the same work, Bárány, Katchalski and Pach conjectured that instead of the bound  $C_n \leq n^{2n^2}$  for the constant  $C_n$  it may be possible to have  $C_n \leq n^{cn}$  for an absolute constant  $c > 0$ .

## Theorem (Naszódi, 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  be a family of closed half-spaces such that  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| > 0$ . We may find  $s \leq 2n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$  such that

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}| \leq (Cn)^{2n} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \right|,$$

where  $C > 0$  is an absolute constant.

# Naszódi's argument

- We start with a family  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  of closed half-spaces

$$P_i = \{x : \langle x, u_i \rangle \leq 1\}$$

such that  $0 < |\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| < \infty$ .

- We may assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite family, therefore  $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$  is a polytope.

# Naszódi's argument

- We start with a family  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  of closed half-spaces

$$P_i = \{x : \langle x, u_i \rangle \leq 1\}$$

such that  $0 < |\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| < \infty$ .

- We may assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite family, therefore  $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$  is a polytope.
- By affine invariance, we may also assume that  $P$  is in John's position.

# Naszódi's argument

- We start with a family  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_i : i \in I\}$  of closed half-spaces

$$P_i = \{x : \langle x, u_i \rangle \leq 1\}$$

such that  $0 < |\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i| < \infty$ .

- We may assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite family, therefore  $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$  is a polytope.
- By affine invariance, we may also assume that  $P$  is in John's position.
- From John's theorem there exists  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $u_j, j \in J$  are contact points of  $P$  and  $B_2^n$ , and  $a_j > 0, j \in J$  such that

$$I_n = \sum_{j \in J} a_j u_j \otimes u_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J} a_j u_j = 0.$$

# Naszódi's argument

- By the Dvoretzky-Rogers lemma, we may choose  $n$  of these contact points, which we denote by  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , so that

$$\text{dist}(v_k, \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1})) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n-k+1}{n}}$$

for all  $k = 2, \dots, n$ .

# Naszódi's argument

- By the Dvoretzky-Rogers lemma, we may choose  $n$  of these contact points, which we denote by  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , so that

$$\text{dist}(v_k, \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1})) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n-k+1}{n}}$$

for all  $k = 2, \dots, n$ .

- It follows that the simplex  $S = \text{conv}\{v_0 = 0, v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq P$  has volume

$$|S| = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^n \text{dist}(v_k, \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1})) \geq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{n!}}.$$

# Naszódi's argument

- Now we use the fact that if  $w$  is the center of mass of  $S$  then  $S$  contains a symmetric (with respect to  $w$ ) convex body  $T$  with

$$|T| \geq 2^{-n}|S|.$$

# Naszódi's argument

- Now we use the fact that if  $w$  is the center of mass of  $S$  then  $S$  contains a symmetric (with respect to  $w$ ) convex body  $T$  with

$$|T| \geq 2^{-n}|S|.$$

- Consider the ray  $\ell$  from the origin in the direction of  $-w$ . Then,  $\ell$  intersects the boundary of  $\text{conv}\{u_j, j \in J\}$  at a point

$$z \in \text{conv}\{v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{n+k}\}$$

for some  $v_{n+i} \in \{u_j, j \in J\}$  and  $k \leq n$ .



# Naszódi's argument

- Now we use the fact that if  $w$  is the center of mass of  $S$  then  $S$  contains a symmetric (with respect to  $w$ ) convex body  $T$  with

$$|T| \geq 2^{-n}|S|.$$

- Consider the ray  $\ell$  from the origin in the direction of  $-w$ . Then,  $\ell$  intersects the boundary of  $\text{conv}\{u_j, j \in J\}$  at a point

$$z \in \text{conv}\{v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{n+k}\}$$

for some  $v_{n+i} \in \{u_j, j \in J\}$  and  $k \leq n$ .

- Also, note that

$$\text{conv}\{u_j, j \in J\} \supseteq \frac{1}{n}B_2^n,$$

and hence

$$\|z\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

- Applying a contraction with center  $z$  and ratio

$$\lambda = \frac{\|z\|_2}{\|z - w\|_2} = \frac{\|z\|_2}{\|z\|_2 + \|w\|_2} \geq \frac{\|z\|_2}{1 + \|z\|_2} \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

to  $T$ , we obtain an origin symmetric convex body

$$Q \subseteq \text{conv}\{z, v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \text{conv}\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{n+k}\}$$

with volume

$$|Q| \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^n} |T| \geq \frac{1}{2^n(n+1)^n} |S| \geq \frac{1}{2^n(n+1)^n n^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{n!}}.$$

# Naszódi's argument

- Consider the intersection of  $n + k \leq 2n$  half-spaces

$$R = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+k} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

# Naszódi's argument

- Consider the intersection of  $n + k \leq 2n$  half-spaces

$$R = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+k} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

- Using the Blaschke-Santaló inequality for  $Q$  and the fact that  $B_2^n \subseteq P$  and  $R \subseteq Q^\circ$  we get

$$\frac{|R|}{|P|} \leq \frac{|Q^\circ|}{|B_2^n|} \leq \frac{|B_2^n|}{|Q|}.$$

# Naszódi's argument

- Consider the intersection of  $n + k \leq 2n$  half-spaces

$$R = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+k} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

- Using the Blaschke-Santaló inequality for  $Q$  and the fact that  $B_2^n \subseteq P$  and  $R \subseteq Q^\circ$  we get

$$\frac{|R|}{|P|} \leq \frac{|Q^\circ|}{|B_2^n|} \leq \frac{|B_2^n|}{|Q|}.$$

- Since  $|Q| \geq \frac{1}{2^n(n+1)^n n^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{n!}}$ , we see that

$$|R| \leq \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^n (n+1)^n n^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{n!}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)} |P|$$

and the result follows (in fact, one has  $C_n \leq (Cn)^{\frac{3n}{2}}$ ).

# Linear number of half-spaces

If we relax the condition on the number  $s$  of half-spaces that we use (but still require that it is proportional to the dimension) we are able to improve the exponent in the constant  $C_n$  from  $\frac{3n}{2}$  to  $n$ :

# Linear number of half-spaces

If we relax the condition on the number  $s$  of half-spaces that we use (but still require that it is proportional to the dimension) we are able to improve the exponent in the constant  $C_n$  from  $\frac{3n}{2}$  to  $n$ :

## Theorem (Brazitikos, 2015)

*There exists an absolute constant  $\beta > 1$  with the following property: for every family  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  of closed half-spaces*

$$P_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}$$

*in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$  has positive volume, there exist  $s \leq \beta n$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_s \in I$  such that*

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_s}| \leq (Cn)^n |P|,$$

*where  $C > 0$  is an absolute constant.*

# Linear number of half-spaces

- We may assume that  $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}$  has finite volume and, since the statements are affinely invariant, that  $P$  is in John's position, i.e. the ellipsoid of maximal volume inscribed in  $P$  is the Euclidean unit ball  $B_2^n$ .
- Then, we have John's decomposition of the identity: there exists  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $v_j, j \in J$  are contact points of  $P$  and  $B_2^n$  and there are positive scalars  $a_j, j \in J$  such that

$$I_n = \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j \otimes v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j = 0.$$



# Linear number of half-spaces

- We may assume that  $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}$  has finite volume and, since the statements are affinely invariant, that  $P$  is in John's position, i.e. the ellipsoid of maximal volume inscribed in  $P$  is the Euclidean unit ball  $B_2^n$ .
- Then, we have John's decomposition of the identity: there exists  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $v_j, j \in J$  are contact points of  $P$  and  $B_2^n$  and there are positive scalars  $a_j, j \in J$  such that

$$I_n = \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j \otimes v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j = 0.$$

- Assuming that we have this decomposition for a set  $J$  of cardinality  $|J| \leq \beta n$  we may use the Brascamp-Lieb inequality in order to estimate the volume of

$$\bigcap_{i \in J} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v_i \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

- For any  $\beta > 1$  we can extract a subset  $\sigma$  of  $J$ , of cardinality  $\beta n$ , which still forms an approximate John's decomposition of the identity with suitable weights.

- For any  $\beta > 1$  we can extract a subset  $\sigma$  of  $J$ , of cardinality  $\beta n$ , which still forms an approximate John's decomposition of the identity with suitable weights.
- This is a result of Srivastava; using this, he showed that for any convex body  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a convex body  $T$  such that  $T \subseteq K \subseteq (\sqrt{5} + \epsilon)T$  and  $T$  has at most  $O_\epsilon(n)$  contact points with its John ellipsoid.

# Approximate John's decomposition

## Theorem (Srivastava, 2012)

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S^{n-1}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_m > 0$  such that

$$I_n = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j \otimes v_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j = 0.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a subset  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  with  $|\sigma| = O_\varepsilon(n)$ , positive scalars  $c_i$ ,  $i \in \sigma$ , and a vector  $v$  with

$$\|v\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{i \in \sigma} c_i},$$

such that

$$I_n \preceq \sum_{i \in \sigma} c_i (v_i + v) \otimes (v_i + v) \preceq (4 + \varepsilon) I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \sigma} c_i (v_i + v) = 0.$$

## Theorem (Brascamp-Lieb)

Let  $m \geq n$ , and let  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_m > 0$  with  $c_1 + \dots + c_m = n$ . Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{c_j}(\langle x, u_j \rangle) dx \leq D \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j \right)^{c_j}$$

for all integrable functions  $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , where  $D = 1/\sqrt{F}$  and

$$F = \inf \left\{ \frac{\det \left( \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \lambda_j u_j \otimes u_j \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{c_j}} : \lambda_j > 0 \right\}.$$

# Brascamp-Lieb inequality

BL-constant (K. Ball)

If  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S^{n-1}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_m > 0$  satisfy

$$I_n = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j v_j \otimes v_j$$

then

$$F = \inf \left\{ \frac{\det \left( \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \lambda_j u_j \otimes u_j \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{c_j}} : \lambda_j > 0 \right\} = 1.$$

# Brascamp-Lieb inequality

## BL-constant (K. Ball)

If  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in S^{n-1}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_m > 0$  satisfy

$$I_n = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j v_j \otimes v_j$$

then

$$F = \inf \left\{ \frac{\det \left( \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \lambda_j u_j \otimes u_j \right)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{c_j}} : \lambda_j > 0 \right\} = 1.$$

## Geometric BL-inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{c_j}(\langle x, u_j \rangle) dx \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j \right)^{c_j}.$$

# Approximate BL-inequality

## Approximate BL-constant

Let  $\gamma > 1$ . If  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in S^{n-1}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_s > 0$  satisfy

$$I_n \preceq A := \sum_{j=1}^s c_j u_j \otimes u_j \preceq \gamma I_n$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^n \det \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \kappa_j \lambda_j u_j \otimes u_j \right) &\geq \det \left( \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \lambda_j u_j \otimes u_j \right) \\ &\geq \prod_{j=1}^s \lambda_j^{\kappa_j} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s > 0$ , where  $\kappa_j = c_j \langle A^{-1} u_j, u_j \rangle > 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .



## Theorem

Let  $\gamma > 1$ . Let  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in S^{n-1}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_s > 0$  satisfy

$$I_n \preceq A := \sum_{j=1}^s c_j u_j \otimes u_j \preceq \gamma I_n$$

and set  $\kappa_j = c_j \langle A^{-1} u_j, u_j \rangle > 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are integrable functions then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^s f_j^{\kappa_j}(\langle x, u_j \rangle) dx \leq \gamma^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j(t) dt \right)^{\kappa_j}.$$

# Helly's theorem

- We assume that  $P$  is in John's position, and we find  $J \subseteq I$  so that the vectors  $u_j$ ,  $j \in J$  are contact points of  $P$  and  $S^{n-1}$  and there exist  $a_j > 0$ ,  $j \in J$ , such that

$$I_n = \sum_{j \in J} a_j u_j \otimes u_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J} a_j u_j = 0.$$

# Helly's theorem

- We assume that  $P$  is in John's position, and we find  $J \subseteq I$  so that the vectors  $u_j$ ,  $j \in J$  are contact points of  $P$  and  $S^{n-1}$  and there exist  $a_j > 0$ ,  $j \in J$ , such that

$$I_n = \sum_{j \in J} a_j u_j \otimes u_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J} a_j u_j = 0.$$

- Using Srivastava's theorem we find a subset  $\sigma \subseteq J$  with  $|\sigma| \leq \alpha_1(\varepsilon)n$ , positive scalars  $c_j$ ,  $j \in \sigma$ , and a vector  $u$  such that

$$I_n \preceq \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j (u_j + u) \otimes (u_j + u) \preceq (4 + \varepsilon) I_n$$

and

$$\sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j (u_j + u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j}.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Taking traces we check that

$$n \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j \leq (4 + 2\varepsilon)n.$$

- In particular,

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Taking traces we check that

$$n \leq \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j \leq (4 + 2\varepsilon)n.$$

- In particular,

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.$$

- Recall that  $\text{conv}\{u_j, j \in J\} \supseteq \frac{1}{n}B_2^n$ . Then, for the vector  $w = \frac{u}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n}}$  we have  $\|w\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$  and hence  $w \in \text{conv}\{u_j, j \in J\}$ . Carathéodory's theorem shows that there exist  $\tau \subseteq J$  with  $|\tau| \leq n + 1$  and  $\rho_i > 0$ ,  $i \in \tau$  such that

$$w = \sum_{i \in \tau} \rho_i u_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \tau} \rho_i = 1.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Note that

$$\left( \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j \right) (-u) = \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j u_j,$$

and this shows that  $-u \in \text{conv}\{u_j : j \in \sigma\}$ . It follows that the segment

$$\left[ -u, \frac{u}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n}} \right] \subset \text{conv}\{u_j : j \in \sigma \cup \tau\}.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Note that

$$\left( \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j \right) (-u) = \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j u_j,$$

and this shows that  $-u \in \text{conv}\{u_j : j \in \sigma\}$ . It follows that the segment

$$\left[ -u, \frac{u}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n}} \right] \subset \text{conv}\{u_j : j \in \sigma \cup \tau\}.$$

- For  $j \in \sigma$  we set

$$v_j = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} \left( -u_j, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_j = \frac{n+1}{n} c_j.$$

We also set  $-v = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}}(u, 0)$ . Then,

$$I_{n+1} \preceq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j (v_j + v) \otimes (v_j + v) \preceq (4 + 2\varepsilon) I_{n+1}.$$

- Choosing  $\varepsilon = 10^{-3}$  we get

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j \otimes v + \sum_{j \in \sigma} v \otimes b_j v_j + \left( \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) v \otimes v \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} l_{n+1} \preceq \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j \otimes v_j \preceq 5 l_{n+1}.$$



# Helly's theorem

- Now, we set  $\kappa_j > 0 = b_j \langle A^{-1} u_j, u_j \rangle$ ,  $j \in \sigma$ . Then, if  $f_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are measurable functions, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \prod_{j \in \sigma} f_j^{\kappa_j}(\langle y, v_j \rangle) dy \leq 10^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \prod_{j \in \sigma} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j(t) dt \right)^{\kappa_j}.$$

- For  $j \in \sigma$  we define  $f_j(t) = e^{-\frac{b_j}{\kappa_j} t} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(t)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \prod_{j \in \sigma} f_j^{\kappa_j}(\langle y, v_j \rangle) dy &\leq 10^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \prod_{j \in \sigma} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_j(t) dt \right)^{\kappa_j} \\ &= 10^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \prod_{j \in \sigma} \left( \frac{\kappa_j}{c_j} \right)^{\kappa_j} \leq 40^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa_j/b_j = \langle A^{-1} u_j, u_j \rangle \leq 2$  because

$$\frac{1}{2} I_{n+1} \preceq A = \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j v_j \otimes v_j.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Let  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u_j \rangle < 1, j \in \sigma \cup \tau\}$ .
- If  $y = (x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  then the conditions  $r > 0$  and  $x \in \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}Q$  imply that

$$\prod_{j \in \sigma} f_j^{k_j}(\langle y, v_j \rangle) > 0.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Let  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, u_j \rangle < 1, j \in \sigma \cup \tau\}$ .
- If  $y = (x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  then the conditions  $r > 0$  and  $x \in \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}Q$  imply that

$$\prod_{j \in \sigma} f_j^{k_j}(\langle y, v_j \rangle) > 0.$$

- We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j\right)} \left\langle \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j u_j, x \right\rangle &= \langle -u, x \rangle = \sqrt{\varepsilon n} \langle -w, x \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\varepsilon n} \left\langle -\sum_{i \in \tau} \rho_i u_i, x \right\rangle \geq -\sqrt{\varepsilon} r, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds since  $x \in \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}Q$ . It follows that

$$\left\langle \sum_{j \in \sigma} c_j u_j, x \right\rangle \geq -5\sqrt{\varepsilon} r n.$$

# Helly's theorem

- Using the above (and recalling our choice of  $\varepsilon = 10^{-3} < 1$ ) we see that if  $y = (x, r) \in \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}Q \times (0, \infty)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j \in \sigma} f_j^{k_j}(\langle y, v_j \rangle) \\ &= \exp \left( - \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+1}} \langle x, u_j \rangle \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left( - \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j \right) \exp \left( \left\langle x, \sum_{j \in \sigma} b_j u_j \right\rangle \right) \\ &\geq \exp \left( -5r \frac{n+1}{\sqrt{n}} - 5\sqrt{\varepsilon} r(n+1) \right) \geq \exp(-10r(n+1)). \end{aligned}$$

# Helly's theorem

- Now, the approximate BL-inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|Q|}{n^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_0^\infty r^n e^{-10r(n+1)} dr &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}Q} e^{-10r(n+1)} dx dr \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \prod_{j \in \sigma} f_j^{\kappa_j}(\langle y, v_j \rangle) dy \leq 40^{\frac{n+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

- Direct computation and then Stirling's approximation show that

$$|Q| \leq C_1^n \frac{n^{\frac{3n}{2}}}{n!} \leq C_2^n n^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

and  $Q$  is the intersection of  $\leq |\sigma| + |\tau| \leq \alpha_1(10^{-3})n + n + 1$  half-spaces.

- Since  $B_2^n \subseteq P \subseteq Q$ , the result follows.

# Continuous version: Ball-Barthe lemma

A Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $S^{n-1}$  is called isotropic if

$$I_n = \int_{S^{n-1}} u \otimes u d\nu(u).$$

Lutwak, Yang and Zhang, 2004

if  $\nu$  is an isotropic measure on  $S^{n-1}$  and  $t : \text{supp}(\nu) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is continuous, then

$$\det \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} t(u) u \otimes u d\nu(u) \right) \geq \exp \left[ \int_{S^{n-1}} \log t(u) d\nu(u) \right].$$

## Theorem (Barthe)

Let  $\nu$  be an isotropic Borel measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $(f_u)$ ,  $u \in S^{n-1}$  be a family of functions  $f_u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  that satisfy (H). Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log f_u(\langle x, u \rangle) d\nu(u) \right) dx \leq \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_u \right) d\nu(u) \right).$$

Also, if  $h$  is a measurable function such that

$$h \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \theta(u) u d\nu(u) \right) \geq \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log f_u(\theta(u)) d\nu(u) \right)$$

for every integrable function  $\theta$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx \geq \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_u \right) d\nu(u) \right).$$

## Continuous version: approximate Ball-Barthe lemma

We say that a Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $S^{n-1}$  is a  $\gamma$ -*approximation of an isotropic measure* (for some  $\gamma > 1$ ) if

$$I_n \preceq T_\nu = \int_{S^{n-1}} u \otimes u \, d\nu(u) \preceq \gamma I_n.$$



# Continuous version: approximate Ball-Barthe lemma

We say that a Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $S^{n-1}$  is a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic measure (for some  $\gamma > 1$ ) if

$$I_n \preceq T_\nu = \int_{S^{n-1}} u \otimes u d\nu(u) \preceq \gamma I_n.$$

## Theorem

Let  $\nu$  be a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . For every continuous function  $t : \text{supp}(\nu) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^n \det \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} t(u) u \otimes u \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle d\nu(u) \right) &\geq \det \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} t(u) u \otimes u d\nu(u) \right) \\ &\geq \exp \left[ \int_{S^{n-1}} \log t(u) \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle d\nu(u) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# Continuous version: approximate Ball-Barthe lemma

We say that a Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $S^{n-1}$  is a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic measure (for some  $\gamma > 1$ ) if

$$I_n \preceq T_\nu = \int_{S^{n-1}} u \otimes u d\nu(u) \preceq \gamma I_n.$$

## Theorem

Let  $\nu$  be a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . For every continuous function  $t : \text{supp}(\nu) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^n \det \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} t(u) u \otimes u \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle d\nu(u) \right) &\geq \det \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} t(u) u \otimes u d\nu(u) \right) \\ &\geq \exp \left[ \int_{S^{n-1}} \log t(u) \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle d\nu(u) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof involves mixed discriminants as in Lutwak, Yang and Zhang.

## Lemma

Let  $\gamma > 1$  and let  $\nu$  be a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the measure on  $S^{n-1}$  with  $d\mu(u) = \langle T_\nu^{-1}u, u \rangle d\nu(u)$ . If  $(f_u), (g_u), u \in S^{n-1}$  are two families of functions that satisfy (H) then

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_u \right) d\mu(u) \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log f_u(\langle x, u \rangle) d\mu(u) \right) dx \\ & \leq \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_u \right) d\mu(u) \right) \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^* \sup_{y = \int \theta(u) u d\nu(u)} \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log g_u(\theta(u)) d\mu(u) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

Let  $\nu$  be a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic Borel measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $(f_u)$ ,  $u \in S^{n-1}$  be a family of functions  $f_u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  that satisfy (H). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log f_u(\langle x, u \rangle) \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle d\nu(u) \right) dx \\ & \leq \gamma^n \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_u \right) \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle d\nu(u) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

Let  $\nu$  be a  $\gamma$ -approximation of an isotropic Borel measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $(f_u)$ ,  $u \in S^{n-1}$  be a family of functions  $f_u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  that satisfy (H). If  $h$  is a measurable function such that

$$\begin{aligned} & h \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \theta(u) u \, d\nu(u) \right) \\ & \geq \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log f_u(\theta(u)) \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle \, d\nu(u) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for every integrable function  $\theta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(y) \, dy \\ & \geq \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_u \right) \langle T_\nu^{-1} u, u \rangle \, d\nu(u) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Condition (H)

We say that a family of functions  $(f_u)$ ,  $u \in S^{n-1}$ , satisfies condition (H) if

- There exist a continuous function  $F : S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  and two functions  $a, b$  on  $S^{n-1}$  with  $a < b$  ( $a, b$  are either real-valued continuous or constant with value  $\pm\infty$ ) such that for all  $(u, t) \in S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$

$$f_u(t) = \mathbf{1}_{a(u) \leq t \leq b(u)} F(u, t).$$

- There exists a function  $U \in L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $0 \leq f_u \leq U$  for all  $u \in S^{n-1}$ .