

Spectral Estimates, Contractions and (maybe) Hypercontractivity

Emanuel Milman

Technion - I.I.T.



Université Paris-Est
Marne-la-Vallée
October 2015

Setup - Laplacian on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds

(M^n, g, μ) - weighted Riemannian manifold:

- (M^n, g) smooth, complete, connected, oriented (no boundary).
- $\mu = e^{-V} \text{Vol}_g$.

$$\Delta_g f := \nabla_g \cdot \nabla_g f, \quad \Delta_{g,\mu} f := e^V \nabla_g \cdot (e^{-V} \nabla_g f) = \Delta_g f - \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g V \rangle.$$

$$\forall f, h \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad - \int (\Delta_{g,\mu} f) h \, d\mu = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle \, d\mu = - \int f (\Delta_{g,\mu} h) \, d\mu,$$

so $-\Delta_{g,\mu}$ is symmetric pos-def on $L^2(\mu)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$; by completeness of M , uniquely extends to self-adjoint operator.

Spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) \subset [0, \infty)$. If discrete $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$.

If discrete and $\mu(M) < \infty$ then $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ (spectral-gap).

Goal: Study $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) = \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu)$.

Setup - Laplacian on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds

(M^n, g, μ) - weighted Riemannian manifold:

- (M^n, g) smooth, complete, connected, oriented (no boundary).
- $\mu = e^{-V} \text{Vol}_g$.

$$\Delta_g f := \nabla_g \cdot \nabla_g f, \quad \Delta_{g,\mu} f := e^V \nabla_g \cdot (e^{-V} \nabla_g f) = \Delta_g f - \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g V \rangle.$$

$$\forall f, h \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad - \int (\Delta_{g,\mu} f) h \, d\mu = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle \, d\mu = - \int f (\Delta_{g,\mu} h) \, d\mu,$$

so $-\Delta_{g,\mu}$ is symmetric pos-def on $L^2(\mu)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$; by completeness of M , uniquely extends to self-adjoint operator.

Spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) \subset [0, \infty)$. If discrete $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$.

If discrete and $\mu(M) < \infty$ then $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ (spectral-gap).

Goal: Study $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) = \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu)$.

Setup - Laplacian on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds

(M^n, g, μ) - weighted Riemannian manifold:

- (M^n, g) smooth, complete, connected, oriented (no boundary).
- $\mu = e^{-V} \text{Vol}_g$.

$$\Delta_g f := \nabla_g \cdot \nabla_g f, \quad \Delta_{g,\mu} f := e^V \nabla_g \cdot (e^{-V} \nabla_g f) = \Delta_g f - \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g V \rangle.$$

$$\forall f, h \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad - \int (\Delta_{g,\mu} f) h \, d\mu = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle \, d\mu = - \int f (\Delta_{g,\mu} h) \, d\mu,$$

so $-\Delta_{g,\mu}$ is symmetric pos-def on $L^2(\mu)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$; by completeness of M , uniquely extends to self-adjoint operator.

Spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) \subset [0, \infty)$. If discrete $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$.

If discrete and $\mu(M) < \infty$ then $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ (spectral-gap).

Goal: Study $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) = \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu)$.

Setup - Laplacian on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds

(M^n, g, μ) - weighted Riemannian manifold:

- (M^n, g) smooth, complete, connected, oriented (no boundary).
- $\mu = e^{-V} \text{Vol}_g$.

$$\Delta_g f := \nabla_g \cdot \nabla_g f, \quad \Delta_{g,\mu} f := e^V \nabla_g \cdot (e^{-V} \nabla_g f) = \Delta_g f - \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g V \rangle.$$

$$\forall f, h \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad - \int (\Delta_{g,\mu} f) h \, d\mu = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle \, d\mu = - \int f (\Delta_{g,\mu} h) \, d\mu,$$

so $-\Delta_{g,\mu}$ is symmetric pos-def on $L^2(\mu)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$; by completeness of M , uniquely extends to self-adjoint operator.

Spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) \subset [0, \infty)$. If discrete $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$.

If discrete and $\mu(M) < \infty$ then $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ (spectral-gap).

Goal: Study $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) = \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu)$.

Setup - Laplacian on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds

(M^n, g, μ) - weighted Riemannian manifold:

- (M^n, g) smooth, complete, connected, oriented (no boundary).
- $\mu = e^{-V} \text{Vol}_g$.

$$\Delta_g f := \nabla_g \cdot \nabla_g f, \quad \Delta_{g,\mu} f := e^V \nabla_g \cdot (e^{-V} \nabla_g f) = \Delta_g f - \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g V \rangle.$$

$$\forall f, h \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad - \int (\Delta_{g,\mu} f) h \, d\mu = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle \, d\mu = - \int f (\Delta_{g,\mu} h) \, d\mu,$$

so $-\Delta_{g,\mu}$ is symmetric pos-def on $L^2(\mu)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$; by completeness of M , uniquely extends to self-adjoint operator.

Spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) \subset [0, \infty)$. If discrete $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$.

If discrete and $\mu(M) < \infty$ then $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ (spectral-gap).

Goal: Study $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) = \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu)$.

Setup - Laplacian on Weighted Riemannian Manifolds

(M^n, g, μ) - weighted Riemannian manifold:

- (M^n, g) smooth, complete, connected, oriented (no boundary).
- $\mu = e^{-V} \text{Vol}_g$.

$$\Delta_g f := \nabla_g \cdot \nabla_g f, \quad \Delta_{g,\mu} f := e^V \nabla_g \cdot (e^{-V} \nabla_g f) = \Delta_g f - \langle \nabla_g f, \nabla_g V \rangle.$$

$$\forall f, h \in C_c^\infty(M) \quad - \int (\Delta_{g,\mu} f) h \, d\mu = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle \, d\mu = - \int f (\Delta_{g,\mu} h) \, d\mu,$$

so $-\Delta_{g,\mu}$ is symmetric pos-def on $L^2(\mu)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(M)$; by completeness of M , uniquely extends to self-adjoint operator.

Spectrum $\sigma(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) \subset [0, \infty)$. If discrete $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$.

If discrete and $\mu(M) < \infty$ then $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ (spectral-gap).

Goal: Study $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(-\Delta_{g,\mu}) = \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu)$.

Curvature-Dimension Condition

Def (Bakry–Émery Curvature Dimension Condition)

$(M^n, g, \mu = \exp(-V)\text{Vol}_g) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$ ($\rho \in \mathbb{R}$), if:

$$\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} := \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V \geq \rho g \text{ on } M.$$

$CD(\rho, \infty)$ for $\rho > 0$ is nice class: $\mu(M) < \infty$ and spectrum discrete.

Examples:

- 1 Gaussian Measure $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n)$, $\text{Cov} = \frac{1}{\rho} \text{Id}$, $\rho > 0$.
 - $\Delta_{\gamma^n} = \Delta - \langle \nabla, x \rangle$ Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.
 - Eigenfunctions are Hermite polynomials;
 $\sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^1}) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^n}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^1})$.
 - Satisfies $CD(\rho, \infty)$.
- 2 Canonical Sphere $(S^n, g_{\text{can}}, \text{Vol}_{g_{\text{can}}})$.
 - $\Delta_{S^n} = \Delta_{g_{\text{can}}}$.
 - Eigenfunctions are spherical harmonics;
 $\sigma(-\Delta_{S^n}) = \{0, n \text{ (multiplicity } n+1), \dots\}$.
 - Satisfies $CD(n-1, n) \subset CD(n-1, \infty)$.

Curvature-Dimension Condition

Def (Bakry–Émery Curvature Dimension Condition)

$(M^n, g, \mu = \exp(-V)\text{Vol}_g) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$ ($\rho \in \mathbb{R}$), if:

$$\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} := \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V \geq \rho g \text{ on } M.$$

$CD(\rho, \infty)$ for $\rho > 0$ is nice class: $\mu(M) < \infty$ and spectrum discrete.

Examples:

- 1 Gaussian Measure $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n)$, $\text{Cov} = \frac{1}{\rho} \text{Id}$, $\rho > 0$.
 - $\Delta_{\gamma^n} = \Delta - \langle \nabla, x \rangle$ Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.
 - Eigenfunctions are Hermite polynomials;
 $\sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^1}) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^n}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^1})$.
 - Satisfies $CD(\rho, \infty)$.
- 2 Canonical Sphere $(S^n, g_{\text{can}}, \text{Vol}_{g_{\text{can}}})$.
 - $\Delta_{S^n} = \Delta_{g_{\text{can}}}$.
 - Eigenfunctions are spherical harmonics;
 $\sigma(-\Delta_{S^n}) = \{0, n \text{ (multiplicity } n+1), \dots\}$.
 - Satisfies $CD(n-1, n) \subset CD(n-1, \infty)$.

Curvature-Dimension Condition

Def (Bakry–Émery Curvature Dimension Condition)

$(M^n, g, \mu = \exp(-V)\text{Vol}_g) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$ ($\rho \in \mathbb{R}$), if:

$$\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} := \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V \geq \rho g \text{ on } M.$$

$CD(\rho, \infty)$ for $\rho > 0$ is nice class: $\mu(M) < \infty$ and spectrum discrete.

Examples:

- 1 Gaussian Measure $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n)$, $\text{Cov} = \frac{1}{\rho} \text{Id}$, $\rho > 0$.
 - $\Delta_{\gamma^n} = \Delta - \langle \nabla, x \rangle$ Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.
 - Eigenfunctions are Hermite polynomials;
 $\sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^1}) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^n}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \sigma(-\Delta_{\gamma^1})$.
 - Satisfies $CD(\rho, \infty)$.
- 2 Canonical Sphere $(S^n, g_{\text{can}}, \text{Vol}_{g_{\text{can}}})$.
 - $\Delta_{S^n} = \Delta_{g_{\text{can}}}$.
 - Eigenfunctions are spherical harmonics;
 $\sigma(-\Delta_{S^n}) = \{0, n \text{ (multiplicity } n+1), \dots\}$.
 - Satisfies $CD(n-1, n) \subset CD(n-1, \infty)$.

Model Space for $CD(\rho, \infty)$

$(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$ is model space for numerous functional & geometric inqs for the class $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho > 0$:

- Log-Sobolev (Bakry–Émery): $LS(M^n, g, \mu) \geq LS(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$.
- Spectral-Gap (Lichnerowicz): $\lambda_2(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$.
- Isoperimetric Inq (Bakry-Ledoux):
 $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \gamma_\rho^1(-\infty, a] \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}^+(A) \geq (\gamma_\rho^1)^+(-\infty, a]$.

These inqs are invariant under tensorization, $1 \leftrightarrow n$.

Main Question. Is it true that:

$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n)$?

- Classical? Difficult? ($\lambda_2, \lambda_3 - \lambda_2$, Polya's conjecture).
- Kato's inq (Besson, Bérard, ...) - domination of $\exp(-t\Delta_{g,\mu})$.
- Ledoux, Bakry–Bentaleb: use Γ_k to control λ_k .

Model Space for $CD(\rho, \infty)$

$(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$ is model space for numerous functional & geometric inqs for the class $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho > 0$:

- Log-Sobolev (Bakry–Émery): $LS(M^n, g, \mu) \geq LS(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$.
- Spectral-Gap (Lichnerowicz): $\lambda_2(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$.
- Isoperimetric Inq (Bakry-Ledoux):
 $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \gamma_\rho^1(-\infty, a] \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}^+(A) \geq (\gamma_\rho^1)^+(-\infty, a]$.

These inqs are invariant under tensorization, $1 \leftrightarrow n$.

Main Question. Is it true that:

$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n)$?

- Classical? Difficult? ($\lambda_2, \lambda_3 - \lambda_2$, Polya's conjecture).
- Kato's inq (Besson, Bérard, ...) - domination of $\exp(-t\Delta_{g,\mu})$.
- Ledoux, Bakry–Bentaleb: use Γ_k to control λ_k .

Model Space for $CD(\rho, \infty)$

$(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$ is model space for numerous functional & geometric inqs for the class $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho > 0$:

- Log-Sobolev (Bakry–Émery): $LS(M^n, g, \mu) \geq LS(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$.
- Spectral-Gap (Lichnerowicz): $\lambda_2(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_2(\mathbb{R}^1, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^1)$.
- Isoperimetric Inq (Bakry-Ledoux):
 $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \gamma_\rho^1(-\infty, a] \Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}^+(A) \geq (\gamma_\rho^1)^+(-\infty, a]$.

These inqs are invariant under tensorization, $1 \leftrightarrow n$.

Main Question. Is it true that:

$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n)$?

- Classical? Difficult? ($\lambda_2, \lambda_3 - \lambda_2$, Polya's conjecture).
- Kato's inq (Besson, Bérard, ...) - domination of $\exp(-t\Delta_{g,\mu})$.
- Ledoux, Bakry–Bentaleb: use Γ_k to control λ_k .

First Answers

Main Question. Is it true that:

$$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) ?$$

Thm (M. '15)

- True for Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.
- False for $(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}) \in CD(n-1, \infty), n \geq 3$.

Why False:

$$(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+2} = n.$$

$$(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_{n-1}^n): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = n-1 < \lambda_{n+2} = 2(n-1).$$

There may be some topological obstructions (first eigenvalues), so:

Conjecture 1*

Main Question is True for $(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.

* - ask me later.

First Answers

Main Question. Is it true that:

$$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) ?$$

Thm (M. '15)

- **True** for Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.
- **False** for $(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}) \in CD(n-1, \infty), n \geq 3$.

Why False:

$$(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+2} = n.$$

$$(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_{n-1}^n): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = n-1 < \lambda_{n+2} = 2(n-1).$$

There may be some topological obstructions (first eigenvalues), so:

Conjecture 1*

Main Question is **True** for $(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.

* - ask me later.

First Answers

Main Question. Is it true that:

$$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) ?$$

Thm (M. '15)

- **True** for Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.
- **False** for $(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}) \in CD(n-1, \infty), n \geq 3$.

Why False:

$$(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+2} = n.$$

$$(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_{n-1}^n): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = n-1 < \lambda_{n+2} = 2(n-1).$$

There may be some topological obstructions (first eigenvalues), so:

Conjecture 1*

Main Question is **True** for $(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.

* - ask me later.

First Answers

Main Question. Is it true that:

$$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) ?$$

Thm (M. '15)

- **True** for Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.
- **False** for $(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}) \in CD(n-1, \infty), n \geq 3$.

Why False:

$$(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+2} = n.$$

$$(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_{n-1}^n): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = n-1 < \lambda_{n+2} = 2(n-1).$$

There may be some topological obstructions (first eigenvalues), so:

Conjecture 1*

Main Question is **True** for $(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.

* - ask me later.

First Answers

Main Question. Is it true that:

$$(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow \forall k \lambda_k(M^n, g, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) ?$$

Thm (M. '15)

- **True** for Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.
- **False** for $(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}) \in CD(n-1, \infty), n \geq 3$.

Why False:

$$(S^n, g_{can}, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}}): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+2} = n.$$

$$(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_{n-1}^n): 0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = n-1 < \lambda_{n+2} = 2(n-1).$$

There may be some topological obstructions (first eigenvalues), so:

Conjecture 1*

Main Question is **True** for $(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0$.

* - ask me later.

More Theorems

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \geq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 2 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \leq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \leq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 3 Let $p \in [1, 2]$, $\nu_\rho^n = c_\rho^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} dx$,
 $\mu = \nu_\rho^n \exp(-U)$, U convex, $U(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n) = U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
Then: $\lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \nu_\rho^n)$.
- 4 1-D criterion: given $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu = f_\mu dx)$ probability measure,
set $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$, $I_\mu^b := f_\mu \circ F_\mu^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.
If $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}^b \geq \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_1}^b$ on $[0, 1] \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_1)$.
- 5 Let $p \in [2, \infty]$, $\tilde{B}_\rho^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq c_\rho^n \right\}$ volume 1.
Then: $\lambda_k^{Neu}(\tilde{B}_\rho^n, |\cdot|, \text{Leb}|_{\tilde{B}_\rho^n}) \geq \frac{1}{392} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma^n)$.
- 6 Other: Riemannian submersions, minimal fibers, etc..

More Theorems

1 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \geq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.

2 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \leq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \leq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.

3 Let $p \in [1, 2]$, $\nu_\rho^n = c_\rho^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} dx$,
 $\mu = \nu_\rho^n \exp(-U)$, U convex, $U(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n) = U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
Then: $\lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \nu_\rho^n)$.

4 1-D criterion: given $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu = f_\mu dx)$ probability measure,
set $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$, $I_\mu^b := f_\mu \circ F_\mu^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

If $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}^b \geq \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_1}^b$ on $[0, 1] \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_1)$.

5 Let $p \in [2, \infty]$, $\tilde{B}_\rho^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq c_\rho^n \right\}$ volume 1.

Then: $\lambda_k^{Neu}(\tilde{B}_\rho^n, |\cdot|, \text{Leb}|_{\tilde{B}_\rho^n}) \geq \frac{1}{392} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma^n)$.

6 Other: Riemannian submersions, minimal fibers, etc..

More Theorems

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \geq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 2 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \leq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \leq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 3 Let $p \in [1, 2]$, $\nu_\rho^n = c_\rho^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} dx$,
 $\mu = \nu_\rho^n \exp(-U)$, U convex, $U(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n) = U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
Then: $\lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \nu_\rho^n)$.
- 4 1-D criterion: given $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu = f_\mu dx)$ probability measure,
set $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$, $I_\mu^b := f_\mu \circ F_\mu^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.
If $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}^b \geq \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_1}^b$ on $[0, 1] \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_1)$.
- 5 Let $p \in [2, \infty]$, $\tilde{B}_\rho^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq c_\rho^n\}$ volume 1.
Then: $\lambda_k^{Neu}(\tilde{B}_\rho^n, |\cdot|, \text{Leb}|_{\tilde{B}_\rho^n}) \geq \frac{1}{392} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma^n)$.
- 6 Other: Riemannian submersions, minimal fibers, etc..

More Theorems

1 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \geq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.

2 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \leq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \leq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.

3 Let $p \in [1, 2]$, $\nu_\rho^n = c_\rho^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} dx$,
 $\mu = \nu_\rho^n \exp(-U)$, U convex, $U(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n) = U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
Then: $\lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \nu_\rho^n)$.

4 1-D criterion: given $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu = f_\mu dx)$ probability measure,
set $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$, $I_\mu^b := f_\mu \circ F_\mu^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

If $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}^b \geq \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_1}^b$ on $[0, 1] \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_1)$.

5 Let $p \in [2, \infty]$, $\tilde{B}_\rho^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq c_\rho^n\}$ volume 1.

Then: $\lambda_k^{\text{Neu}}(\tilde{B}_\rho^n, |\cdot|, \text{Leb}|_{\tilde{B}_\rho^n}) \geq \frac{1}{392} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma^n)$.

6 Other: Riemannian submersions, minimal fibers, etc..

More Theorems

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \geq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 2 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \leq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \leq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 3 Let $p \in [1, 2]$, $\nu_\rho^n = c_\rho^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} dx$,
 $\mu = \nu_\rho^n \exp(-U)$, U convex, $U(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n) = U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
Then: $\lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \nu_\rho^n)$.
- 4 1-D criterion: given $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu = f_\mu dx)$ probability measure,
set $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$, $I_\mu^b := f_\mu \circ F_\mu^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.
If $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}^b \geq \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_1}^b$ on $[0, 1] \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_1)$.
- 5 Let $p \in [2, \infty]$, $\tilde{B}_\rho^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq c_\rho^n \right\}$ volume 1.
Then: $\lambda_k^{\text{Neu}}(\tilde{B}_\rho^n, |\cdot|, \text{Leb}|_{\tilde{B}_\rho^n}) \geq \frac{1}{392} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma^n)$.
- 6 Other: Riemannian submersions, minimal fibers, etc..

More Theorems

- 1 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \geq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 2 $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu = e^{-V} dx)$ $\text{Hess}V \leq \rho Id \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \leq \lambda_k(\gamma_\rho^n)$.
- 3 Let $p \in [1, 2]$, $\nu_\rho^n = c_\rho^n e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p} dx$,
 $\mu = \nu_\rho^n \exp(-U)$, U convex, $U(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n) = U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.
Then: $\lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \geq \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \nu_\rho^n)$.
- 4 1-D criterion: given $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu = f_\mu dx)$ probability measure,
set $F_\mu(x) := \mu(-\infty, x]$, $I_\mu^b := f_\mu \circ F_\mu^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.
If $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}^b \geq \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{I}_{\mu_1}^b$ on $[0, 1] \Rightarrow \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mu_1)$.
- 5 Let $p \in [2, \infty]$, $\tilde{B}_\rho^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|x\|_{\ell_p^n} \leq c_\rho^n \right\}$ volume 1.
Then: $\lambda_k^{Neu}(\tilde{B}_\rho^n, |\cdot|, \text{Leb}|_{\tilde{B}_\rho^n}) \geq \frac{1}{392} \lambda_k(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma^n)$.
- 6 Other: Riemannian submersions, minimal fibers, etc..

All these results are immediate consequences of:

"Thm" (M. 15, Contraction Principle)

Let $T : (M_1, g_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow (M_2, g_2, \mu_2)$, so that:

$T_*\mu_1 = \mu_1 \circ T^{-1} = c\mu_2$, $c \in (0, \infty)$, and $d_2(Tx, Ty) \leq L d_1(x, y)$.

Then $\forall k \lambda_k(M_2, g_2, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(M_1, g_1, \mu_1)$.

(i.e. $-\Delta_{g_2, \mu_2} \geq (T^*)^t \circ (-\Delta_{g_1, \mu_1}) \circ T^*$).

- On general mm-space (Ω, d, μ) :

$$\lambda_k^{Lip} := \inf_{\substack{F \subset W_{Lip}^{1,2} \\ \dim F = k}} \sup_{\substack{f \in F \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\int |\nabla f|_d^2 d\mu}{\int |f|^2 d\mu}.$$

On (M, g, μ) , by min-max and density of $W_{Lip}^{1,2} \subset W^{1,2}$, $\lambda_k^{Lip} = \lambda_k$.

- Proof is immediate for λ_k^{Lip} : $|\nabla(f \circ T)|_{d_1}(x) \leq L |\nabla f|_{d_2}(Tx)$.
- Note: no injectivity of T assumed (useful in RG).
- Extension to Neumann and Dirichlet (if $T(\partial M_1) = \partial M_2$).

All these results are immediate consequences of:

"Thm" (M. 15, Contraction Principle)

Let $T : (M_1, g_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow (M_2, g_2, \mu_2)$, so that:

$T_*\mu_1 = \mu_1 \circ T^{-1} = c\mu_2$, $c \in (0, \infty)$, and $d_2(Tx, Ty) \leq L d_1(x, y)$.

Then $\forall k \lambda_k(M_2, g_2, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(M_1, g_1, \mu_1)$.

(i.e. $-\Delta_{g_2, \mu_2} \geq (T^*)^t \circ (-\Delta_{g_1, \mu_1}) \circ T^*$).

- On general mm-space (Ω, d, μ) :

$$\lambda_k^{Lip} := \inf_{\substack{F \subset W_{Lip}^{1,2} \\ \dim F = k}} \sup_{\substack{f \in F \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\int |\nabla f|_d^2 d\mu}{\int |f|^2 d\mu}.$$

On (M, g, μ) , by min-max and density of $W_{Lip}^{1,2} \subset W^{1,2}$, $\lambda_k^{Lip} = \lambda_k$.

- Proof is immediate for λ_k^{Lip} : $|\nabla(f \circ T)|_{d_1}(x) \leq L |\nabla f|_{d_2}(Tx)$.
- Note: no injectivity of T assumed (useful in RG).
- Extension to Neumann and Dirichlet (if $T(\partial M_1) = \partial M_2$).

All these results are immediate consequences of:

“Thm” (M. 15, Contraction Principle)

Let $T : (M_1, g_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow (M_2, g_2, \mu_2)$, so that:

$T_*\mu_1 = \mu_1 \circ T^{-1} = c\mu_2$, $c \in (0, \infty)$, and $d_2(Tx, Ty) \leq L d_1(x, y)$.

Then $\forall k \lambda_k(M_2, g_2, \mu_2) \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \lambda_k(M_1, g_1, \mu_1)$.

(i.e. $-\Delta_{g_2, \mu_2} \geq (T^*)^t \circ (-\Delta_{g_1, \mu_1}) \circ T^*$).

- On general mm-space (Ω, d, μ) :

$$\lambda_k^{Lip} := \inf_{\substack{F \subset W_{Lip}^{1,2} \\ \dim F = k}} \sup_{\substack{f \in F \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\int |\nabla f|_d^2 d\mu}{\int |f|^2 d\mu}.$$

On (M, g, μ) , by min-max and density of $W_{Lip}^{1,2} \subset W^{1,2}$, $\lambda_k^{Lip} = \lambda_k$.

- Proof is immediate for λ_k^{Lip} : $|\nabla(f \circ T)|_{d_1}(x) \leq L |\nabla f|_{d_2}(Tx)$.
- Note: no injectivity of T assumed (useful in RG).
- Extension to Neumann and Dirichlet (if $T(\partial M_1) = \partial M_2$).

Caffarelli's Contraction Thm

$(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu) \in \mathcal{CD}(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

Other contractions / Lipschitz maps:

- Kolesnikov - reverse Caffarelli.
- Kim - M. '11 - generalized Caffarelli.
- Adaptation of Bobkov-Houdré.
- Latała–Wojtaszczyk.
- Riemannian submersions.

Contraction Conjecture

Caffarelli's Thm is very power tool for transferring functional & geometric inqs (Sobolev, Isoperimetry, Concentration, Gaussian Correlation for ellipsoids), **but many other methods do the same job.**

Spectrum comparison goes well beyond previous capabilities.

Conjecture 2*

$(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

(Conjecture 2* implies 1*, consistent w/ Caffarelli in Euclidean case and log-Sobolev, Spectral-Gap, Gaussian isoperimetry).

False on S^n ; formulate only for \mathbb{R}^n due to topological obstruction:
If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M^n$ continuous, but also 1-1 and onto \Rightarrow
 $M^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ by Brouwer's Invariance of Domain Thm.

Contraction Conjecture

Caffarelli's Thm is very power tool for transferring functional & geometric inqs (Sobolev, Isoperimetry, Concentration, Gaussian Correlation for ellipsoids), **but many other methods do the same job.**

Spectrum comparison goes well beyond previous capabilities.

Conjecture 2*

$(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

(Conjecture 2* implies 1*, consistent w/ Caffarelli in Euclidean case and log-Sobolev, Spectral-Gap, Gaussian isoperimetry).

False on S^n ; formulate only for \mathbb{R}^n due to topological obstruction:
If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M^n$ continuous, but also 1-1 and onto \Rightarrow
 $M^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ by Brouwer's Invariance of Domain Thm.

Contraction Conjecture

Caffarelli's Thm is very power tool for transferring functional & geometric inqs (Sobolev, Isoperimetry, Concentration, Gaussian Correlation for ellipsoids), **but many other methods do the same job.**

Spectrum comparison goes well beyond previous capabilities.

Conjecture 2*

$(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

(Conjecture 2* implies 1*, consistent w/ Caffarelli in Euclidean case and log-Sobolev, Spectral-Gap, Gaussian isoperimetry).

False on S^n ; formulate only for \mathbb{R}^n due to topological obstruction:
If $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M^n$ continuous, but also 1-1 and onto \Rightarrow
 $M^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ by Brouwer's Invariance of Domain Thm.

Extensions

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.

$T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c\text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

- Conjecture 4 would be “Caffarelli’s Contraction Thm for Sphere” in view of $\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} = \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V$.
- Restrict to S^n by Domain Invariance Thm.

Conjecture 4 consistent with (in fact, $S^n \leftrightarrow M^n$):

- Bonnet–Myers: $\text{diam}(M^n, g) \leq \text{diam}(S^n, g_{can}^\rho)$.
- Bishop–Gromov: $\frac{\text{Vol}_g(B(x_2, r))}{\text{Vol}_g(M)} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(B(x_1, r))}{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(S^n)} \forall r > 0$.
- Bakry–Émery: $LS(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \rho \frac{n}{n-1} = LS(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$.
- Sobolev inq for $CD(\rho, n)$: $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-2)} \frac{n-1}{\rho} \int |\nabla f|^2$.
- Gromov–Lévy Isoperimetric Inq:
 $\tilde{\text{Vol}}_g(A) = \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}(B(x_0, r)) \Rightarrow \tilde{\text{Vol}}_g^+(A) \geq \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}^+(B(x_0, r))$.

Extensions

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.
 $T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c\text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

- Conjecture 4 would be “Caffarelli’s Contraction Thm for Sphere” in view of $\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} = \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V$.
- Restrict to S^n by Domain Invariance Thm.

Conjecture 4 consistent with (in fact, $S^n \leftrightarrow M^n$):

- Bonnet–Myers: $\text{diam}(M^n, g) \leq \text{diam}(S^n, g_{can}^\rho)$.
- Bishop–Gromov: $\frac{\text{Vol}_g(B(x_2, r))}{\text{Vol}_g(M)} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(B(x_1, r))}{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(S^n)} \forall r > 0$.
- Bakry–Émery: $LS(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \rho \frac{n}{n-1} = LS(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$.
- Sobolev inq for $CD(\rho, n)$: $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-2)} \frac{n-1}{\rho} \int |\nabla f|^2$.
- Gromov–Lévy Isoperimetric Inq:
 $\tilde{\text{Vol}}_g(A) = \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}(B(x_0, r)) \Rightarrow \tilde{\text{Vol}}_g^+(A) \geq \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}^+(B(x_0, r))$.

Extensions

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.
 $T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c\text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

- Conjecture 4 would be “Caffarelli’s Contraction Thm for Sphere” in view of $\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} = \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V$.
- Restrict to S^n by Domain Invariance Thm.

Conjecture 4 consistent with (in fact, $S^n \leftrightarrow M^n$):

- Bonnet–Myers: $\text{diam}(M^n, g) \leq \text{diam}(S^n, g_{can}^\rho)$.
- Bishop–Gromov: $\frac{\text{Vol}_g(B(x_2, r))}{\text{Vol}_g(M)} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(B(x_1, r))}{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(S^n)} \forall r > 0$.
- Bakry–Émery: $LS(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \rho \frac{n}{n-1} = LS(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$.
- Sobolev inq for $CD(\rho, n)$: $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-2)} \frac{n-1}{\rho} \int |\nabla f|^2$.
- Gromov–Lévy Isoperimetric Inq:
 $\tilde{\text{Vol}}_g(A) = \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}(B(x_0, r)) \Rightarrow \tilde{\text{Vol}}_g^+(A) \geq \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}^+(B(x_0, r))$.

Extensions

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.
 $T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c\text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

- Conjecture 4 would be “Caffarelli’s Contraction Thm for Sphere” in view of $\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} = \text{Ric}_g + \text{Hess}_g V$.
- Restrict to S^n by Domain Invariance Thm.

Conjecture 4 consistent with (in fact, $S^n \leftrightarrow M^n$):

- Bonnet–Myers: $\text{diam}(M^n, g) \leq \text{diam}(S^n, g_{can}^\rho)$.
- Bishop–Gromov: $\frac{\text{Vol}_g(B(x_2, r))}{\text{Vol}_g(M)} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(B(x_1, r))}{\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}(S^n)} \forall r > 0$.
- Bakry–Émery: $LS(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \rho \frac{n}{n-1} = LS(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$.
- Sobolev inq for $CD(\rho, n)$: $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4}{n(n-2)} \frac{n-1}{\rho} \int |\nabla f|^2$.
- Gromov–Lévy Isoperimetric Inq:
 $\tilde{\text{Vol}}_g(A) = \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}(B(x_0, r)) \Rightarrow \tilde{\text{Vol}}_g^+(A) \geq \tilde{\text{Vol}}_{can}^+(B(x_0, r))$.

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.
 $T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c \text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

Finally, **Conjecture 4** is consistent with predictions of **Conjecture 3**.

Conjecture 3 is consistent with (in fact, $S^n \leftrightarrow M^n$):

- Lichnerowicz: $\lambda_2(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_2(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$.
- Bérard–Gallot comparison of heat-kernel trace:
 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k(M^n, g)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho)) \quad \forall t > 0$.
- **Asymptotically true:**
 $\lambda_k(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq (1 + o(1))\lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
since by Weyl's law $\lambda_k(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) = c_n(k/\text{Vol}_g(M))^{\frac{2}{n}}(1 + o(1))$.

- **Thm (M. '15):**

$$\lambda_k(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \frac{1}{15e} \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \quad \forall k \geq 4^n.$$

Proof: Cwikel–Lieb–Rozenblum inequality for $\#$ bound states +
Sharp $CD(\rho, n)$ Sobolev inq.

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.
 $T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c \text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

Finally, **Conjecture 4** is consistent with predictions of **Conjecture 3**.

Conjecture 3 is consistent with (in fact, $S^n \leftrightarrow M^n$):

- Lichnerowicz: $\lambda_2(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_2(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$.
- Bérard–Gallot comparison of heat-kernel trace:
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k(M^n, g)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho)) \quad \forall t > 0.$$
- **Asymptotically true:**
 $\lambda_k(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq (1 + o(1))\lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
since by Weyl's law $\lambda_k(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) = c_n(k/\text{Vol}_g(M))^{\frac{2}{n}}(1 + o(1))$.

- **Thm (M. '15):**

$$\lambda_k(M^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \frac{1}{15e} \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \quad \forall k \geq 4^n.$$

Proof: Cwikel–Lieb–Rozenblum inequality for $\#$ bound states +
Sharp $CD(\rho, n)$ Sobolev inq.

Extending Caffarelli's Theorem

Let (S^n, g, Vol_g) satisfy $CD(\rho, n)$: $\text{Ric}_g \geq \rho g$, $\rho > 0$.

Conjecture 3: $\forall k \lambda_k(S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g) \geq \lambda_k(S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho})$. Implied by:

Conjecture 4: $\exists T : (S^n, g_{can}^\rho, \text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) \rightarrow (S^n, g, \text{Vol}_g)$ s.t.
 $T_*(\text{Vol}_{g_{can}^\rho}) = c\text{Vol}_g$ and contraction.

If true, **Conjecture 4** would give a **single reason** for variety of separate results (albeit only for S^n).

My main message to you:

Would be very interesting to extend the 2 known proofs of Caffarelli's Contraction Thm (Optimal-Transport, Heat-Flow) from scalar setting (densities) to 2-tensor one (metrics), leading to **Conjecture 4**.

Bounding λ_k on average

When does it hold (with $P_t = \exp(t\Delta_{g,\mu})$):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k) = \text{tr}(P_t) = \int h_t(x, x) d\mu =: Z(t) < \infty?$$

Easy Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. ultracontractive, h_t bounded, so $Z(t) < \infty$.

Borderline Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. hypercontractive, iff log-Sobolev (Gross).

Thm (M. '15)

Assume $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies $LSI(L)$. If:

$$s := \frac{4\rho}{\exp(\rho t) - 1} < L \Rightarrow Z(t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{s}{1 - s/L} \int_M d^2(x, x_0) d\mu\right) < \infty.$$

In particular, if $L > 4\rho_-$ then $\exists t > 0$ $Z(t) < \infty$.

Bounding λ_k on average

When does it hold (with $P_t = \exp(t\Delta_{g,\mu})$):

$$(k \exp(-t\lambda_k) \leq) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k) = \text{tr}(P_t) = \int h_t(x, x) d\mu =: Z(t) < \infty?$$

Easy Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. ultracontractive, h_t bounded, so $Z(t) < \infty$.

Borderline Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. hypercontractive, iff log-Sobolev (Gross).

Thm (M. '15)

Assume $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies $LSI(L)$. If:

$$s := \frac{4\rho}{\exp(\rho t) - 1} < L \Rightarrow Z(t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{s}{1 - s/L} \int_M d^2(x, x_0) d\mu\right) < \infty.$$

In particular, if $L > 4\rho_-$ then $\exists t > 0$ $Z(t) < \infty$.

Bounding λ_k on average

When does it hold (with $P_t = \exp(t\Delta_{g,\mu})$):

$$(k \exp(-t\lambda_k) \leq) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k) = \text{tr}(P_t) = \int h_t(x, x) d\mu =: Z(t) < \infty?$$

Easy Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. ultracontractive, h_t bounded, so $Z(t) < \infty$.

Borderline Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. hypercontractive, iff log-Sobolev (Gross).

Thm (M. '15)

Assume $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies $LSI(L)$. If:

$$s := \frac{4\rho}{\exp(\rho t) - 1} < L \Rightarrow Z(t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{s}{1 - s/L} \int_M d^2(x, x_0) d\mu\right) < \infty.$$

In particular, if $L > 4\rho_-$ then $\exists t > 0$ $Z(t) < \infty$.

Bounding λ_k on average

When does it hold (with $P_t = \exp(t\Delta_{g,\mu})$):

$$(k \exp(-t\lambda_k) \leq) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k) = \text{tr}(P_t) = \int h_t(x, x) d\mu =: Z(t) < \infty?$$

Easy Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. ultracontractive, h_t bounded, so $Z(t) < \infty$.

Borderline Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. hypercontractive, iff log-Sobolev (Gross).

Thm (M. '15)

Assume $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies $LSI(L)$. If:

$$s := \frac{4\rho}{\exp(\rho t) - 1} < L \Rightarrow Z(t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{s}{1 - s/L} \int_M d^2(x, x_0) d\mu\right) < \infty.$$

In particular, if $L > 4\rho_-$ then $\exists t > 0$ $Z(t) < \infty$.

Bounding λ_k on average

When does it hold (with $P_t = \exp(t\Delta_{g,\mu})$):

$$(k \exp(-t\lambda_k) \leq) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp(-t\lambda_k) = \text{tr}(P_t) = \int h_t(x, x) d\mu =: Z(t) < \infty?$$

Easy Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. ultracontractive, h_t bounded, so $Z(t) < \infty$.

Borderline Case:

$\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} < \infty$, i.e. hypercontractive, iff log-Sobolev (Gross).

Thm (M. '15)

Assume $(M^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies $LSI(L)$. If:

$$s := \frac{4\rho}{\exp(\rho t) - 1} < L \Rightarrow Z(t) \leq \exp\left(\frac{s}{1 - s/L} \int_M d^2(x, x_0) d\mu\right) < \infty.$$

In particular, if $L > 4\rho_-$ then $\exists t > 0$ $Z(t) < \infty$.

Thank you for the question

Conjecture 2*

$(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

Conjecture 2* would imply the following Bishop thm ($\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$):

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mu(B(x_0, r)) \geq \gamma_\rho^n(B(0, r)) \quad \forall r > 0$$

(by setting $x_0 = T(0)$). In particular:

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \int d(x, x_0)^2 d\mu \leq \frac{n}{\rho}.$$

This is **not** known to be true, and I am doubtful, since the $CD(\rho, \infty)$ condition does not see the dimension n .

However, under [Graded Curvature-Dimension Condition](#) $GCD(0, \rho)$:

$$\text{Ric}_g \geq 0, \quad \text{Hess}_g V \geq \rho g,$$

we can prove the above Bishop thm.

So replace $CD(\rho, \infty)$ by $GCD(0, \rho)$ in Conjectures 1* and 2*.

Thank you for the question

Conjecture 2*

$(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

Conjecture 2* would imply the following Bishop thm ($\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$):

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mu(B(x_0, r)) \geq \gamma_\rho^n(B(0, r)) \quad \forall r > 0$$

(by setting $x_0 = T(0)$). In particular:

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \int d(x, x_0)^2 d\mu \leq \frac{n}{\rho}.$$

This is **not** known to be true, and I am doubtful, since the $CD(\rho, \infty)$ condition does not see the dimension n .

However, under [Graded Curvature-Dimension Condition](#) $GCD(0, \rho)$:

$$\text{Ric}_g \geq 0, \quad \text{Hess}_g V \geq \rho g,$$

we can prove the above Bishop thm.

So replace $CD(\rho, \infty)$ by $GCD(0, \rho)$ in Conjectures 1* and 2*.

Thank you for the question

Conjecture 2*

$(\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu) \in CD(\rho, \infty), \rho > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\exists T : (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \gamma_\rho^n) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, g, \mu)$ s.t. $T_*(\gamma_\rho^n) = c\mu$ and T is contraction.

Conjecture 2* would imply the following Bishop thm ($\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$):

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mu(B(x_0, r)) \geq \gamma_\rho^n(B(0, r)) \quad \forall r > 0$$

(by setting $x_0 = T(0)$). In particular:

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \int d(x, x_0)^2 d\mu \leq \frac{n}{\rho}.$$

This is **not** known to be true, and I am doubtful, since the $CD(\rho, \infty)$ condition does not see the dimension n .

However, under [Graded Curvature-Dimension Condition](#) $GCD(0, \rho)$:

$$\text{Ric}_g \geq 0, \quad \text{Hess}_g V \geq \rho g,$$

we can prove the above Bishop thm.

So replace $CD(\rho, \infty)$ by $GCD(0, \rho)$ in Conjectures 1* and 2*.